NEGOTIATIONS TO ESTABLISH AN INTERIM AUTHORITY FOR THE NORTH EAST

Godfrey Gunatilake

Defining Positions for the Resumption of Negotiations

he resumption of negotiations has been stalled as the government and the LTTE have not been able to agree on what to be the subject and scope of the negotiations. Both parties are agend that an interim authority/administration should be established. ENF government had presented their proposals for Provincial inistrative Council on 17.7.2003. The LTTE did not accept the emment's proposal as a basis for negotiations. They responded their own proposal for an Interim Self Governing Authority on 10,2003 and requested Norwegian facilitators (in the wording in IELTTE letter) "to arrange for a meeting at which we can discuss the assal, in your (the facilitator's) presence with the representatives See GOSL at a mutually convenient time and place." The UNF remment made no definite response to the LTTE proposal. However initial statements of the government spokesman indicated that the TE proposal west far beyond what had been proposed by the vernment.

epresent government when it assumed office stated that it is prepared discuss the LTTE proposals for the ISGA but that the negotiations of proceed concurrently with negotiations on the core issues related a final political settlement. The LTTE has not accepted this proposal that insisted that the negotiations on the ISGA must be concluded that the ISGA must be institutionalized before negotiations on the issues commence. The disagreements on this basic issue of the blect matter of the next stage of negotiations have stalled the peace meets.

break the deadlock the government has announced that it would mulate its own position on the interim authority and present it to LTTE through the Norwegian facilitators. From the most recent formation available the LTTE appears to have expressed concern at this would be another set of counter proposals that might signify at the government is not willing to discuss the proposals of the LTTE. The present situation therefore may result in further deferment of egotiations.

The LTTE has to be persuaded that in formulating its proposals on the merim authority government is not rejecting the LTTE's proposals at responding to them and stating the government position. This initial interment of the government's position becomes part of the negotiating rocess. The government can indicate that negotiations can commence to the understanding that the proposals of the LTTE for the ISGA will be taken up for discussion and that the government will provide the LTTE with its initial response to the proposals in the form of a omprehensive statement of its own position on the interim authority.

This will be in the interests of both parties as both parties begin with a clear understanding of each other's positions. The negotiations can then endeavour to bridge the differences and reach agreement on an interim authority which is mutually acceptable.

The ISGA as an extra-constitutional arrangement.

he LTTE has taken the position that the ISGA has to be negotiated outside the Sri Lan an constitution. It relies on "international precedents for establishing interim governing arrangements in war torn countries having the force of law based solely on pacts or agreements between the warring parties recognized by the international community". How will this affect the negotiations? By taking this position the LTTE attempts to achieve two objectives. The first objective is to maintain its parity of status with the Sri Lankan government. To do this the LTTE it has to state that it does not come under the Sri Lankan Constitution. Second it seeks to pre-empt the argument that might be raised by the government that any interim arrangement cannot assume and exercise powers that cannot be granted to it by the existing constitution and that the interim authority may have to be conceived within the limits imposed by the present constitution. This would have been a useful negotiating position for the government in order to undersoore the intrinsically interim character of any arrangement made pending a final political settlement. It would have also facilitated the acceleration of the negotiations on the final

The government would have to respond to the LTTE position that the interim authority could be an extra-constitutional arrangement based on an agreement. The LTTE has not cited any specific international examples to support its position. Pro LTTE analysts have argued that the interim arrangements in Beauganville bear some similarity to the situation in Sri Lanka. But these have been firmly set within the "shared acceptance of the sovereignty of Papua New Guinea." Furthermore, the agreement repeatedly refers to the guarantees and the ratifications by the national constitution and the national Parliament The LTTE probably has in mind the Israeli Palestinian arrangement where the origins of the conflict are very different and the acceptance in principle of the existence of two states was an intrinsic part of the peace accord from the inception. Probably the more pragmatic approach would be to avoid any time- consuming discussion on the issue of extraconstitutional arrangements and the relevance of the international precedents and go directly to the nature of the substantive powers needed by the interim authority to fulfill its objectives. After the parties have broadly agreed on the powers and structure of the interim authority they could examine the constitutional implications and modalities of establishing it. The over-riding principle guiding the negotiations on the interim arrangement is that it must be compatible and consistent with the final political sectionnent, as agreed by both parties, should be

explored in terms of a federal structure and a united Sri Lanka. Even the issue of an extra constitutional arrangement when placed within this overall context becomes more amenable to discussion.

The preamble and the framework for negotiations

The LTTE's proposals contain a preamble. A first round of negotiations on the preamble would provide an opportunity to agree on the framework of the negotiations. Almost all peace negotiations have had such a framework. The preamble could strengthen the OSLO commitment to seeking a solution within a federal structure and a united Sri Lanka and include some of the norms and principles underscored by the donor community in their Tokyo Declaration and other statements.

The ISGA and its compatibility with a federal structure.

D oes the ISGA as it stands in the LTTE formulation provide room for negotiating an interim authority which is consistent with a federal system of government and a united Sri Lanka?

It is difficult to give a firm unambiguous answer to this question. The ISGA proposal contains parts where the linguage as well as the structures envisaged do not specifically acknowledge the relationships that should exist between a federal unit and the central government. The answer to this question will emerge only in the process of negotiations.

Those who have argued that the LTTE's proposals for the ISGA are tantamount to a separate state with sover tign power have pointed to

- the use of language such as "plenary power for the governance in the North East";
- the independent institution such as the Human Rights Commission, the Judiciary envisaged under the ISGA; the absence of any reference to national institutions or any appellate jurisdiction by national institutions.
- the control over marine and offshore resources and the control over the natural resources;
- power to alienate and determine the use of ail land in the North East.
- The fact that the only explicit reference to the role of the central government in the ISGA is in the appointment of members to the ISGA and the allocation of resources from the Consolidated Fund.
- Powers to borrow internally and externally, receive aid directly, engage in and regulate internal and external trade.
- Powers to raise revenue impose taxes and duties.
- Government expenditures in and for the North East being subject to the control of the ISGA.
- The ISGA having its own Auditor General. No mention of the Auditor general of the Central government.

The ISGA proposals constantly seek to maintain the "parity of status" between the LTTE and the GOSL. In the words of the document "The ISGA is established by an agreement between the GOSL and the LTTE

and disputes regarding interpretation will go for arbitration by a tribe appointed by the two parties. The arbitrators shall ensure the parties status of the LTTE and the GOSL in the determination of any disput

As pointed out in my recent paper on the ISGA, and the comparanalysis made by the CPA many of the powers proposed for the second be brought within the ambit of powers enjoyed by a product in a system of government as envisaged in the 1995 proposed the 2000 draft constitution. There are however some areas see the control of government expenditure where the central government to have no role, regulation of internal and external trade, also of the appellate jurisdiction of national institutions which clearly beyond the limits. The government would have to negotiate on basis that both parties agree to the overriding principle government political settlement which envisages a federal system.

The character of the ISGA as defined in the ISC proposals.

a the light of what has been discussed above, what is character of the ISGA as defined in the proposals? Don LTTE envisage it as a regional authority? How is it situated a the national system of government? The LTTE proposals do not adequately with this issue. The LTTE appears the have placed the in an indeterminate category in which the ISGA cen act without co or reference to the Central government. The ISCA itself however have government representatives but the LTTE will be in an abs majority. This disposition of power would have been still with autonomy of a regional unit if the proposals made clear what po the Central government would exercise within the region discharge of the powers exercised by it for the entire country as case of the Federal Government in the federal system. The sedescribing the jurisdiction of the ISGA talks of plenary power much has been made of this term by those who view the proposi the "blue print for a separate state," but the term plenary power itself does not mean the plenary power of a sovereign state. The can be used for the full exercise of the power that is given to any constituted body. The LTTE speaks of powers " in relation resettlement rehabilitation reconstruction and development for purpose of demands powers to raise ... enue, including imposit taxes revenue levies "n." duties, law and order, and over land." these are taken together the ISGA will enjoy the authority of a state. However, in a further definition of the powers that are exercised by the ISGA the document st. tes that its powers will in the "all powers and functions in relation to regional administra exercised by the GOSL in and for the NorthFast." This coul interpreted as the limiting clause whi h makes the ISGA a reg unit which enjoys power as in a feder 1 system. The language document is crafted in a manner whi h leaves room for altern interpretations within a spectrum ranging from federal to con-fed

The LTTE seems to be arguing that these powers can be exeminder an agreement between the government and the LTTE su the Ceasefire Agreement, SHRN or NERF and do not need any fit constitutional radification for the duration of the ISGA. From the of view of the government the ISGA will exercise the powers with the control of the control of the control of the government the ISGA will exercise the powers will be added to the control of the c

egovernment now exercises in the regional administration of the East and which the government delegates to the ISGA under me agreement. The ISGA which has no binding constitutional seantees will last as long as the agreement lasts, like the Ceasefire recement and will be presumably revicable by either party if there a breach of the conditions to which they agree when the ISGA is Fished.

The LTTE's proposals are vague about reveral aspects of the ISGA's ers. The ISGA is not defined as full fledged body with legislative er. In clause 14 the LTTE talks of the ISGA's "effective exercise legislative and executive powers but elsewhere it defines the SGA as an executive authority.

If the ISGA is seen primarily as an ex-cutive authority whose main sections are those of resettlement relabilitation reconstruction and exclopment, which it will eatry out for a period of five years pending final political settlement, then the negotiating parties could first wee on the extent and nature of pot ers that are needed. Such an and crity must enjoy the political e-ntrol and the administrative allonomy that is needed to carry out hese functions effectively. In best it may need to have the executive; owers of the Board of Ministers Provincial Council. Could these povers be delegated from the centre eder a special arrangement which sees not require constitutional ranges? These are some of the parameters within which the regotiations would have to be set

The Interim Authority and the dual regime in the bssl North East

ithia

power

n in

as =

SES WEI

0.052

OWE

The

any a

lation

or wa

Dition

of an

arc =

it inc

ulstri

coul

a regul age 4

ilterr=

m-feat

excru E SUL any f=

mther

ow will the Interim Authority/ISGA relate to the dual regime that prevails in the government controlled and LTTE controlled wens in the North East? This will become one of the most contentious weas in the negotiations on the interim authority. The LTTE envisages ISGA which will be in control of the entire North East. In such a regime the central government must enjoy the normal access it enjoys and be able to exercise its responsibilities as a central government in ill parts of the North East including the areas which are presently nder the control of the LTTE. Such a regime must also ensure, as was emphasized in the recent communique of the E'J, that " good governance, pluralism, human rights and democracy ... which are the cornerstones of a settlement for in everlasting peace in Sri Lanka" prevail in all parts of the NorthEast. The practical compromise would be to establish the ISGA with a vell-defined area of authority which allows the dual regime to cont nue for the interim period in the contentious areas of justice, see trity, law and order until the final entlement is reached. These contentious matters are part of the core issues which have to be negotiated in the process of reaching the final settlement.

The Ceasefire and the Interim Authority

The issues concerning the dual regime takes us to the relationship between the Ceasefire Agreement and the Interim authority. The Ceasefire Agreement the mandate of the SLMM and its methods of operation would have to be re-examined in the context of the Interim Authority which is likely to operate for a fairly long period. The Ceasefire which is now revocable with two weeks notice should take the form of a more durable peace accord with a commitment to renounce war and violence and to seek solutions to conflicts and disagreement exclusively through peaceful negotiations. The mandate of the SLMM and the monitoring activities should cover the whole of the North East including the territory now controlled by the LTTE.

The Interim Authority, the Muslim minority and the Sinhala minority in the North East

he proposals of the LTTE provide for representation of the Muslim and Sinhala community in the ISGA but the LTTE will command an absolute majority. The UNF proposals also provided for an LTTE majority in the Interim Council. Within such a structure, the negotiating parties would have to examine how ininorities have an effective voice and an equitable share in decision-making and implementation particularly in those matters which vitally affect their interests. This could be done by identifying certain areas of decisionmaking where decisions require the consent of the minorities.

The position of the minorities, their participation in governance and the protection of their rights may not become a central issue for the interim authority as long as the executive power of the interim authority does not extend to security, justice, law and order. These issues will then have to be negotiated when the parties deal with the core issues relating to the final political settlement. During the period the interim authority functions the issues of human rights and security relating to minorities would have to be dealt with through a revised and strengthened CFA or Peace Accord

The Structure of the ISGA

he structure proposed by the LTTE is one in which power is highly concentrated in a strong line of command from the Chairman who is the chief executive to the District Committees and their Secretaries. The mode of appointment of members to institutions at the District level and below should be designed so as to be genuinely representative of the community and to promote community participation and empowerment. Local participation becomes particularly important for both transparency and accountability in a context in which the Interim Authority will be undertaking large scale programmes involving heavy expenditure. There is no room in the proposals that have been made by the LTTE for the activation of local government institutions. This is an important aspect which needs attention.

Godfrey Gunatilake is former Chair person, Marge Institute, Colombo,